Appl. No. 10/809,987 Amd. Dated November 8, 2005 Reply to Office Action of May 17, 2005 and Examiner's telephone conference of 11/8/05

## REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

Reconsideration of the present application, as amended, is respectfully requested.

First of all, the undersigned attorney thanks the Examiner for his telephone call today in which he pointed out the inconsistencies in the dependencies of claims 4-8 and 13-16, and in the cancellation of claim 12. Accordingly, claims 4-8 have been amended to depend upon previously amended claim 1 and claims 13-16 have been amended to depend upon previously amended claim 9. Inadvertently canceled claim 12 has been added as new claim 34 with a dependency upon claim 9.

Therefore, in view of the amendments above and the remarks directed thereto, the applicant respectfully requests that all rejections be moved, that claims 1, 4-9, and 13-34 be allowed and that the case passed to issue. If the Examiner feels that a telephone conference would in any way expedite the prosecution of the application, please do not hesitate to call the undersigned at (408) 868-4088.

Respectfully submitted,

Gary T/Aka Reg. No. 20 03

Reg. No. 29,038

AKA CHAN LLP 900 Lafayette Street, Suite 710 Santa Clara, CA 95050

Tel: 408-701-0035 Fax: 408-608-1599